

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/625,360	07/23/2003	Rahul Sarpeshkar	MIT8924	8225
	7590 01/29/2008		EXAM	INER
Matthew E. Connors Gautheir & Connors LLP			FAULK, DEVONA E	
Suite 3300 225 Franklin Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Boston, MA 02110			2615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/625,360	SARPESHKAR ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit ,				
		Devona E. Faulk	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 O	<u>ctober 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-19,21,22</u> is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are rejected.						
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 April 2007</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority I	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	;a.				
Attachmen	• •	_					
	be of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

10/625,360 Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Claims 10-19 and 21-22 were indicated as allowable and remain in allowable form.
- 2. Claim 4 was objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim and indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form. The applicant has added the language of previously recited claim 4 to claim 1. The examiner has further determined that prior art Williamson failed to disclose differentiators.
- 3. Claims 3,4 and 23 are cancelled..
- 4. The applicant failed to address the specification objection due to claim 20 and the 112 enablement rejection of claim 20. The examiner is maintaining the specification objection and the 112 enablement rejection.

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- 2. Claim 20 recites "a unity differentiator function". The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required.

Application/Control Number:

10/625,360 Art Unit: 2615

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claim 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim 20 recites "a unity differentiator function". It is not clear what to the examiner what is meant by unity differentiator function or what how this function is applied to the data. The specification therefore is not enabling with regard to this limitation.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1,2,5-19,21,22 are allowed.
- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Regarding claims 1,10,18 and 21, prior art Williamson (US 5,027,410) discloses an adaptive programmable signal processing and filtering for hearing aids including at a plurality of filters that receive a multi-frequency input signal, energy detection units and a unit coupled to each of said energy detection units. Prior art Lyon (US 4,536,844) discloses a method and apparatus for simulating aural response information. Prior art Slaney et al. (US 5,473,759) discloses a sound analysis and resynthesis using

Application/Control Number:

10/625,360 Art Unit: 2615

correlations. Prior art Watts (US 7,076,315) discloses an efficient computation of log-frequency-scale digital filter cascade. Prior art Faltys et al. (US 6,980,864) discloses a high contact count, sub-miniature, full implantable cochlear implant. Prior art Fragniere (Design of an Analogue VLSI Model of an Active Cochlea) discloses providing a resonant Q. Prior art Hou (US 6,873,709) discloses a weighting averaging unit.

7. Regarding claim 1, the prior art or combination thereof fails to disclose or make obvious the invention as a whole, specifically a plurality of differentiator units each of which is coupled to the outputs of the filters and to one of the energy detection units and the differentiator units providing double differentiation.

Regarding claims 10 and 21, the prior art or combination thereof fails to disclose or make obvious the invention as whole, specifically, the non-linear unit providing a resonant gain signal Q to said filter (low pass filter) responsive to said weighted-averaging signal.

Regarding claim 18, the prior art or combination thereof fails to disclose or make obvious the invention as a whole, specifically a plurality of differentiator units, each of which is coupled to an output of a low pass filter and each of which provides a differentiator output signal.

Therefore the prior art or combination thereof fails to disclose or make obvious a spectrum enhancement system and a method of providing spectral enhancement as claimed.

Claims 2,5-9,11-17,19 and 22 are allowed due to dependency on claims 1,10,18 and 21.

Art Unit: 2615

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time 8. policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devona E. Faulk whose telephone number is 571-272-7515. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 6

10/625,360

Art Unit: 2615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Devona E. Faulk

WALL NAMED

HOPERVIOLIN PATEM STANIAL
TERRIFORD ON TOTAL AT 2000

01/07/08.